

To: Steve Rapson

Through: Ted L. Burgess

From: Natasha M. Duggan

Date: May 27, 2021

Subject: Contract 1947-B: Silver Leaf Drive Culvert Replacement

The Purchasing Department issued Invitation to Bid #1947-B to secure a contractor to replace a failing 48inch corrugated metal pipe under Silver Leaf Drive with 84-LF of a 6-ft. by 4-ft. concrete box culvert along with the relocation of present utilities. Notice of the opportunity was emailed to 114 companies. Another 745 were contacted through the web-based Georgia Procurement Registry, who had registered under commodity codes #21028 (culverts, concrete) and 91339 (Construction, Pipe Culvert). The offer was also advertised through Georgia Local Government Access Marketplace, the Fayette County website, Channel 23, and the Fayette County News.

Seven (7) Companies submitted quotes. (Attachment 1).

Environmental Management recommends awarding to the lowest responsive bidder Crawford Grading & Pipeline, Inc., for the lump-sum price of \$252,188.00. The current available balance in the project is \$122,772.09 so Environmental Management recommends transfer of \$129,416.00 from the Stormwater Contingency Fund to fully fund the contract. A contractor performance evaluation for previous work is attached. (Attachment 2)

Specifics of the proposed contract are as follows:

Contract Name Contractor Contract Amount			r Leaf Drive Culvert Replacement ling & Pipeline, Inc.				
Budget:							
	Fund	322	2017 SPLOST				
	Org Code	32240320	Stormwater				
	Object	541210	Other Improvements				
	Project	17SAN	175 Silver Leaf Drive				
	Requested Transfer Available	\$129,416.00 \$252,188.09	From Stormwater Contingency Fund Upon Approval of Transfer by BOC				

## ITB 1947-B: Silver Leaf Drive Culvert Replacement Tally Sheet

		Tople nstruction & ngineering, Inc.	C	Hitson onstruction, Inc.	E	Site ngineering, Inc.		Summit Instruction & evelopment, Inc.	I	Piedmont Paving, Inc.	н	elix Group, Inc.	Crawford Grading & Pipeline, Inc.	
Mobilization	\$	15,000.00	\$	15,000.00	\$	15,000.00	\$	32,500.00	\$	20,450.00	\$	8,448.78	\$ 2,000.00	
Traffic Control	\$	20,000.00	\$	10,000.00	\$	8,000.00	\$	9,875.00	\$	4,500.00	\$	3,576.30	\$ 4,200.00	
Erosion Control	\$	25,000.00	\$	24,475.00	\$	12,000.00	\$	27,512.78	\$	48,000.00	\$	14,969.54	\$ 6,800.00	
Clearing & Grubbing	\$	16,000.00	\$	5,400.00	\$	6,000.00	\$	15,750.00	\$	10,850.00	\$	6,166.57	\$ 4,400.00	
<b>Excavation &amp; Demolition</b>	\$	65,946.00	\$	157,844.00	\$	35,000.00	\$	78,538.89	\$	35,685.00	\$	36,550.94	\$ 14,530.00	
Culvert Construction	\$	152,179.00	\$	105,194.00	\$	190,000.00	\$	115,300.00	\$	102,700.00	\$	105,786.65	\$ 109,648.00	
<b>Roadway Construction</b>	\$	38,000.00	\$	22,057.22	\$	34,000.00	\$	25,172.50	\$	19,000.00	\$	34,240.70	\$ 49,960.00	
Concrete Work	\$	6,200.00	\$	7,000.00	\$	8,000.00	\$	13,050.00	\$	8,050.00	\$	7,684.92	\$ 14,200.00	
Allowance	\$	25,000.00	\$	25,000.00	\$	25,000.00	\$	25,000.00	\$	25,000.00	\$	25,000.00	\$ 25,000.00	
Total Lump Sum Base Bid	\$	363,325.00	\$	371,970.22	\$	333,000.00	\$	342,699.17	\$	274,235.00	\$	242,424.40	\$ 230,738.00	
Alternate Bid Item:														
Waterline Relocation	\$	35,000.00	\$	3,300.00	\$	38,200.00	\$	24,660.00	\$	19,900.00	\$	12,586.44	\$ 21,450.00	
Alternate	\$	398,325.00	\$	375,270.22	\$	371,200.00	\$	367 <i>,</i> 359.17	Ş	294,135.00	\$	255 <i>,</i> 010.84	\$ 252,188.00	

Item in red font (in Total Lump Sum Base Bid) indicates a mathematical error.

r									
FAYETTE COUNTY, GEORGIA CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION									
1. Use this form to record contractor performance									
2. The person who serves as project manager or a			y to cor	nplete the	e evalu	ation.			
3. This form is to be completed and forwarded to	the Purchasing Department not								
or expiration of a contract. Past performance is considered on future contracts.									
VENDOR INFORMATION COMPLETE ALL APPLICABLE INFORMATIO									
Company Name: Crawford Grading & Pipeline, Inc.	Contract Number: #1947-B								
	Contract Description on Titles 17000 Conver Desci Out and								
	Contract Description or Title: 17SAA – Graves Road Culvert Replacement								
City, St, Zip Code:	Contract Term (Dates) From:								
Phone Number:	Task Order Number:								
Cell Number: N/A	Other Reference:								
E-Mail Address:									
	DEFINITIONS								
OUTSTANDING - Vendor considerably exceeded m	inimum contractual requirements	or pe	rforman	ce expect	tations	of the			
products/services; The vendor demonstrated the highest le	evel of quality workmanship/professi	ionalism	n in exec	ution of co	ontract.				
EXCELLENT (Exc) - Vendor exceeded minimum contract	ual requirements or performance ex	pectatio	ons of the	e products	/service	S.			
SATISFACTORY (Sat) - Vendor met minimum contractua									
UNSATISFACTORY (UnSat) - Vendor did not meet th products and/or services; Performed below minimum requ	e minimum contractual requirement					of the			
EVALUATIONS (Place "X"		ach c	riterio	n.)					
Criteria (includes change orders /	amendments)	Out-	Exc	Sat	Un-	Not			
1. Work or other deliverables performed on scho	51	tanding X			Sat	Apply			
2. Condition of delivered products	edule		V						
3. Quality of work			X		<u> </u>				
		+							
4. Adherence to specifications or scope of work			X						
5. Timely, appropriate, & satisfactory problem o	r complaint resolution		Х						
6. Timeliness and accuracy of invoicing				X					
7. Working relationship / interfacing with county	staff and citizens	X							
8. Service Call (On-Call) response time				X					
9. Adherence to contract budget and schedule			Х						
10. Other (specify):						X			
11. Overall evaluation of contractor performance	9					Х			
EVALUATED BY									
Signature: Courtany Happen rall Date of Evaluation: 5/12/2021									
Print Name: Courtney Hassenzahl <sup>0</sup>	ronmer	ntal Ma	inageme	nt					
Title: Asst. Director	Telephone No: 770-305-54	410							

Form Updated 11/16/2016

CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION	
<b>Explanation of Outstanding or Unsatisfactory Rating</b>	S

Company Name: Contract Number:						
	EXPLANATIONS / COMMENTS 1. Do not submit page 2 without page 1. 2. Use this page to explain evaluations of <i>Outstanding</i> or <i>Unsatisfactory</i> . 3. Be specific (include paragraph and page numbers referenced in the applicable contract, etc.). Contir on separate sheet if needed (show company name and contract number or other reference)	nue				
	1. Work or other deliverables performed on schedule: Crawford grading had materials delivered to the site, commenced work immediately, and completed the project on time.					
	7. Working relationship / interfacing with County staff and citizen(s): Crawford Grading continued a great working relationship and open lines of communication throughout the duration of the project and the 12-month warranty period. Crawford also returned to the site to make driveway repairs to a citizens home several months after project completion					
		_				

**Purchasing Department Comments** (e.g. did the vendor honor all offers; submit insurance, bonds & other documents in a timely manner; and provide additional information as requested?):